

LAW OFFICES
SIDEMAN & BANCROFT LLP
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 22ND FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-3711

1 ZACHARY J. ALINDER (State Bar No. 209009)

E-Mail: *zalinder@sideman.com*

2 ANDREW M. LEVAD (State Bar No. 313610)

E-Mail: *alevad@sideman.com*

3 SIDEMAN & BANCROFT LLP

4 One Embarcadero Center, Twenty-Second Floor

San Francisco, California 94111-3711

5 Telephone: (415) 392-1960

6 Facsimile: (415) 392-0827

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff

VISUAL SUPPLY COMPANY

8
9
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

11 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

13
14 VISUAL SUPPLY COMPANY, a
Delaware corporation,

15 Plaintiff,

16
17 v.

18 ADAM KHIMJI, an individual, and DOES
1-20, inclusive,

19 Defendants.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 3:24-cv-09361-WHO

**STATEMENT OF RECENT DECISION
RELEVANT TO DEFENDANT ADAM
KHIMJI'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND/OR
STAY AND PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION
[DKT. 15 & 16]**

Date: June 18, 2025

Time: 2:00 p.m.

Place: Courtroom 2, 17th Floor

Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick

1 Plaintiff Visual Supply Company (“VSCO”) respectfully submits this Statement of Recent
 2 Decision pursuant to Local Rule 7-3(d)(2). The purpose of this Statement is to bring to the
 3 Court’s attention a recent decision that is relevant to its determination of Defendant Adam
 4 Khimji’s (“Mr. Khimji’s”) pending Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 15) and Plaintiff’s April 14, 2025
 5 Opposition to Mr. Khimji’s Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 16):

- 6 1. *Briskin v. Shopify, Inc.*, 135 F.4th 739 (9th Cir. 2025), filed April 21, 2025, which
 7 reversed and remanded, concluding that the Canadian defendant was subject to
 8 personal jurisdiction in California, while expressly overruling *AMA Multimedia,*
 9 *LLC v. Wanat*, 970 F.3d 1201 (9th Cir. 2020) “and any other cases that require
 10 some sort of differential treatment of the forum state for a finding of ‘express
 11 aiming’ of the defendant’s allegedly tortious conduct” and distinguishing *Walden v.*
 12 *Fiore*, 571 U.S. 277 (2014). *Id.* at 758-61. A true and correct copy of the Westlaw
 13 version of the Ninth Circuit’s decision is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

14
 15
 16 DATED: June 17, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

SIDEMAN & BANCROFT LLP

17
 18
 19 By: 

20 Zachary J. Alinder
 21 Andrew M. Levad
 22 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 23 VISUAL SUPPLY COMPANY
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28